

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,557	09/30/2003	Terry L. Schneider	7784-000553CPC	6819	
27572	7590 05/02/2005		EXAMINER		
HARNESS	, DICKEY & PIERCE	DIXON, MERRICK L			
P.O. BOX 8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·			LE		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/675,557	SCHNEIDER, TERRY L.			
Office Actio	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Merrick Dixon	1774			
The MAILING DA	NTE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ave after SIX (6) MONTHS from th - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set of	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. silable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.3. e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply led above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, the later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from h, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on <u>09 Fe</u>	ebruary 2005.				
2a) This action is FIN	IAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this applica	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/a	are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above	claim(s) is/are withdrav	wn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is	s/are allowed.	·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/a	are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is	s/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) a	re subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification i	is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) file	ed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.			
Applicant may not r		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See				
Replacement draw	ing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	• ' '	aminer. Note the attached Office	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
12) Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some			(-7 ()			
	ppies of the priority document	s have been received.				
. <u> </u>	•	s have been received in Applicati	on No.			
	• •	rity documents have been receive		•		
·	from the International Bureau	•				
* *		of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
		A A				
		μ	MEBBICK DIXON			
Attachment(s)		_	PRIMARY EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited		4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/675,557

Art Unit: 1774

15

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

16

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xie et al (US 6503620) in view of Minners(US 6236300) for reasons as set fort in the previous office action, inter alla.

17

Applicant's arguments filed 2-9-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants firstly argue that, in their opinion, SMA particles would just not be required for a label, and that the proposal(i.e., obvious combined teaching of the references) offered by the examiner amounts to hindsight as there is no motivation or desirability to combine the references. To this the examiner respectfully reminds applicants that Minners indeed teaches the motivation in col 7, lines 3-10 of respective product enhancement via such inclusion. The examiner further reminds applicants in regards to their final contention about hindsight that any judgement on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But as long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/675,557

Art Unit: 1774

the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicants' disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392; 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

18

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

19

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096

Application/Control Number: 10/675,557

Art Unit: 1774

O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's

personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless

otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 703-872-9306.

Information about the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Status inquires for published applications may be retrieved from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic

Business Center at 866-217-9197.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner

Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern

time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Mulber

Group 1700